

The Honorable James L. Robart

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MEREDITH BEAGLE and JORDAN  
GUERRERO, on behalf of themselves and all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON.COM  
SERVICES LLC,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR

**STIPULATED MOTION AND  
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOR  
CONSOLIDATION, FILING OF  
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED  
COMPLAINT, AND SCHEDULE FOR  
ANSWER OR MOTION TO DISMISS.**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
APRIL 26, 2024

SOFAUNA JOHNSON, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR

**STIPULATED MOTION AND  
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOR  
CONSOLIDATION, FILING OF  
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED  
COMPLAINT, AND SCHEDULE FOR  
ANSWER OR MOTION TO DISMISS.**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
APRIL 26, 2024

**STIPULATED MOTION**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 10(g) and 42(b), and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6 and 42, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The above-captioned proposed class actions (“*Beagle* and *Johnson*”) each allege claims against Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC (collectively “Amazon”)<sup>1</sup> based on Amazon’s alleged disclosure of customers’ personally identifiable information (“PII”) related to their use of Prime Video streaming services. *See Beagle v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR, Dkt. 1 (“Beagle Compl.”); *Johnson v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR, Dkt. 1 (“Johnson Compl.”). Plaintiffs in *Beagle* allege that such disclosures violate the Video Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2710, and the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 *et seq.* *See Beagle Compl.* The Plaintiff in *Johnson* alleges that such disclosures violate California Civil Code § 1799.3. *See Johnson Compl.*

2. Both cases are putative class actions with overlapping classes, in which the California class in the later-filed *Johnson* complaint consists of a subset of the nationwide class in the earlier-filed *Beagle* complaint. *See Beagle Compl.* ¶ 109 (defining putative class as “[a]ll persons nationwide who have rented, purchased, or streamed audiovisual content from Amazon Prime...”); *Johnson Compl.* ¶ 62 (defining putative class as “all persons in the State of California who have Prime Video and amazon.com accounts, and viewed videos on Prime Video”).

3. Recognizing the similarities between the cases, Amazon filed notices of related case in both *Beagle* and *Johnson* pursuant to LCR 3(g). *See Beagle* Dkt. 26; *Johnson* Dkt. 13.

4. Counsel for Amazon and counsel for the Plaintiffs in both *Beagle* and *Johnson* have conferred about consolidating the two actions. Plaintiffs and Amazon agree that consolidation of the *Beagle* and *Johnson* actions is appropriate because the actions involve materially similar allegations and consolidation will result in substantial efficiencies in managing both cases. *See Doe v. Fred Hutchinson Cancer Ctr.*, No. 2:23-cv-01893-JHC, 2024 WL 69881,

<sup>1</sup> The *Johnson* Complaint lists Amazon.com, Inc. as the defendant in the caption, but the body of the complaint and the civil cover sheet state that Plaintiff asserts her claims against Amazon.com Services LLC. *See Johnson Compl.*

at \*1 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 5, 2024) (“Consolidation is appropriate [where] ... the [two] actions present common questions of law and fact and there are substantial efficiencies to be gained.”).

5. Because consolidation will require the filing of a consolidated amended complaint, the Parties have discussed and agreed upon a schedule for filing the consolidated amended complaint and a briefing schedule on Amazon’s anticipated motion to dismiss.

6. Based on the foregoing, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows, subject to the Court’s approval:

- The *Beagle* and *Johnson* actions shall be consolidated under the earlier-filed *Beagle* case number;
- Plaintiffs shall file a consolidated amended complaint within 30 days of the Court’s order granting this stipulation to consolidate;
- Amazon shall file its response within 30 days after Plaintiffs file the consolidated amended complaint;
- Plaintiffs’ opposition to any motion to dismiss by Amazon shall be due 30 days after the filing of Amazon’s motion to dismiss;
- Amazon shall file any reply in support of its motion to dismiss within 14 days after the filing of Plaintiffs’ response.

7. Further, since consolidation impacts the parties and counsel involved in the Rule 26 conferral, disclosures, and report, the Parties further agree and stipulate (subject to the Court’s approval) to continue the deadlines set forth in the *Beagle* Court’s Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, Joint Status Report, and Early Settlement (“Initial Scheduling Order,” Dkt. 28). The Parties stipulate and agree to vacate the deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order and request that the Court issue a renewed Initial Scheduling Order after it resolves Amazon’s anticipated motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint, to the extent any claims survive.

8. The parties have previously sought and obtained one prior extension of time in this matter. The only deadlines or case event dates that will be impacted by this stipulated

extension concern the deadline for Amazon to respond to the Complaint and associated briefing schedule for any motion to dismiss, and the deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 28).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2024.

**BURNS CHAREST LLP**

By s/ Amanda K. Klevorn  
Amanda K. Klevorn, *pro hac vice*  
Korey A. Nelson, *pro hac vice*  
Laura S. Seggerman, *pro hac vice*  
365 Canal Street, Suite 1170  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Telephone: (504) 799-2845  
Facsimile: (504) 881-1765  
Email: aklevorn@burnscharest.com  
Email: knelson@burnscharest.com  
Email: lseggerman@burnscharest.com

Cristina Delise, *pro hac vice*  
757 Third Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Telephone: (469) 904-4550  
Facsimile: (469) 444-5002  
Email: cdelise@burnscharest.com

**DUNCAN LAW, PLLC**

Shaquelle M. Duncan, WSBA #56701  
410 SW 10th Street, Suite 215  
Renton, WA 98057  
Telephone: (206) 237-7714  
Facsimile: (206) 238-1324  
Email: duncans@duncanlawpllc.com

**BRAGAR EAGEL & SQUIRE, P.C.**

Melissa A. Fortunato, *pro hac vice*  
580 California Street, Suite 1200  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 568-2124  
Facsimile: (212) 304-0506  
Email: fortunato@bespc.com

**DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP**

By s/ John Goldmark  
John Goldmark, WSBA #40980  
Lauren B. Rainwater, WSBA #43625  
Erwin Reschke, *pro hac vice*  
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, WA 98104-1610  
Telephone: (206) 757-8136  
Email: JohnGoldmark@dwt.com  
Email: LaurenRainwater@dwt.com  
Email: ErwinReschke@dwt.com

*Counsel for Defendants Amazon.com, Inc.  
and Amazon.com Services LLC*

**CARSON NOEL PLLC**

By s/ Wright A. Noel  
Wright A. Noel  
20 Sixth Avenue NE  
Issaquah, WA 98027  
Telephone: (425) 837-4717  
Facsimile: (425) 837-5396  
Email: wright@carsonnoel.com

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Joseph I. Marchese, *pro hac vice*  
Phillip L. Fraietta, *pro hac vice*  
1300 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (646) 837-7150  
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163  
Email: jmarchese@bursor.com  
Email: pfraietta@bursor.com

1 Lawrence P. Egel, *pro hac vice*  
2 Casey C. DeReus, *pro hac vice*  
3 810 Seventh Avenue, Suite 620  
4 New York, NY 10019  
5 Telephone: (212) 308-5858  
6 Facsimile: (212) 486-0462  
7 Email: egel@bespc.com  
8 Email: dereus@bespc.com

Brittany S. Scott, *pro hac vice*  
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
Email: bscott@bursor.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Sofauna Johnson*

6 *Counsel for Plaintiffs Meredith Beagle*  
7 *and Jordan Guerrero*

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Parties' above stipulated motion, the Court Orders that:

- *Johnson v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR be consolidated with *Beagle et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR.
- Plaintiffs in *Johnson* and *Beagle* are granted 30 days from the date of entry of this order to file a consolidated amended complaint.
- Amazon's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended to 30 days after Plaintiffs file a consolidated amended complaint;
- Plaintiffs' response to any motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint is due 30 days after Amazon files any such motion, and Amazon's reply in support is due 14 days after Plaintiffs' response; and
- The deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 28) are hereby stricken, and will be reset by the Court after it rules on Amazon's motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 26th day of April, 2024.



The Honorable James L. Robart  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE